Comparative Analysis of Prediction Models for Short-Term Forecasting

Marco Di Francesco Gabriele Franch Mauro Brunato





CINECA



Outline

Introduction

Dataset

Models

Results

Introduction

Nowcasting

Nowcasting makes the **prediction up to 6 hours**

Due to global warming extreme events are becoming more likely

Trentino Moena Flood in 2018

Goal is to predict these extreme events



Nowcasting

Nowcasting makes the prediction up to 6 hours

Due to global warming **extreme events** are becoming more likely

Trentino Moena Flood in 2018

Goal is to predict these extreme events

 $_{\text{NulCatSERVICE}}$ Loss events worldwide 1980 – 2014 Number of events



Munich RE

Why nowcasting?

Nowcasting makes the prediction up to 6 hours

Due to global warming extreme events are becoming more likely

Trentino Moena Flood in 2018

Goal is to predict these extreme events



Why nowcasting?

Nowcasting makes the prediction up to 6 hours

Due to global warming extreme events are becoming more likely

Trentino Moena Flood in 2018

Goal is to predict these extreme events





Radar

Radar uses **Radio waves** to create a radar echo map

Radar measures the **reflectivity value** (Z)

Reflectivity value is transformed in Decibel (dBZ)

Radar echo map



Dataset

MeteoTrentino radar dataset

Image size 480x480px

Window of 25 frames

Input: 5 radar scans



Prediction target: 20 frames

Thresholding

Input threshold \rightarrow Set pixel value below threshold to 0

- Why? Machine learning models learn faster with less irrelevant information

 $Output threshold \rightarrow Used in output image$

- If pixel value \geq threshold \rightarrow Event happened
- If pixel value < threshold \rightarrow Event did not happen







Thresholding

Input threshold \rightarrow Set pixel value below threshold to 0

- Why? Machine learning models learn faster with less irrelevant information

$\textbf{Output threshold} \rightarrow \textbf{Used in output and image}$

- If pixel value \geq threshold \rightarrow Event happened
- If pixel value < threshold \rightarrow Event did not happen

Threshold: 0.5

0	0.3	0.7
0.4	0.5	0.4
0.2	0.6	0.3

Model performance metrics

Contingency table used for comparison of

Observed Event vs Forecast

Performance metrics:

$$FAR = \frac{false \ alarms}{hits + false \ alarms}$$
$$POD = \frac{hits}{hits + misses}$$
$$CSI = \frac{hits}{hits + misses + false \ alarms}$$

Contingency table		Observed event	
		\checkmark	X
Forecast	\checkmark	Hit	False alarm
	×	Miss	Hit

Model performance plot

Roebber plot used to represent the metrics:

- Success Ratio (1-False alarm rate)
- Probability of detection
- Critical Success Index

Features the Conditional Bias





S-PROG

Mathematical method presented in 2003

Decomposes the filed in different level using the fourier transformation decomposition

Computes Advection Matrix using semi-lagrangian extrapolation method



S-PROG

Mathematical method presented in 2003

Decomposes the filed in different level using the fourier transformation decomposition

Computes **Advection Matrix** using semi-lagrangian extrapolation method



Trajectory GRU

Machine learning model presented in 2017

Uses a Convolutional Recurrent Neural Network

Solves the Location-Invariant problem

Uses a Balanced Loss



Trajectory GRU

Machine learning model presented in 2017

Uses a Convolutional Recurrent Neural Network

Solves the Location-Invariant problem

Uses a Balanced Loss



Trajectory RNN

Trajectory GRU

Machine learning model presented in 2017

Uses a Convolutional Recurrent Neural Network

Solves the Location-Invariant problem

Uses a Balanced Loss

Rain Rate (mm/h)	Proportion (%)	Weight
$0 \le x < 0.5$	90.25	1
$0.5 \le x < 2$	4.38	1
$2 \le x < 5$	2.46	2
$5 \le x < 10$	1.35	5
$10 \le x < 30$	1.14	10
$30 \le x$	0.42	30

$$B - MSE = \frac{1}{n} * \sum_{n=1}^{20} \sum_{i=1}^{480} \sum_{j=1}^{480} w_{n,i,j} (x_{n,i,j} - \hat{x}_{n,i,j})^2$$

$$B - MAE = \frac{1}{n} * \sum_{n=1}^{20} \sum_{i=1}^{480} \sum_{j=1}^{480} w_{n,i,j} |x_{n,i,j} - \hat{x}_{n,i,j}|$$

IDA-LSTM

Machine learning model presented in 2021

Introduced Interaction and Dual Attention mechanisms

Solves the problem of high intensity precipitation



IDA-LSTM

Machine learning model presented in 2021

Introduced Interaction and Dual Attention mechanisms

Solves the problem of high intensity precipitation





IDA-LSTM (2021)

Model tested with patch size 16 and 40

Used a huge amount of video Video Memory (40GB)

Able to learn complex structures

Strongly underestimated precipitation

Unable to retain long-term structures



Trajectory GRU (2017)

Trained on

- multiple input thresholds

Tested on

- multiple output thresholds
- 100k and 200k model's iterations

Best model found



S-PROG (2003)

Tested on multiple output thresholds

The model achieves better results for low values

Keeps a **balanced conditional bias**



Wrap up

S-PROG (2003)

- Able to predict high values
- Unable to get the evolution

Trajectory GRU (2017)

- Good performance in low prec, but no high values

IDA-LSTM (2021)

- Strongly underestimates high and low values



Extra content

Dataset

- Transformation in Decibel
- Iteration vs Epoch
- Dataset window shift
- Dataset split

Models

- S-PROG (2003)
 - Math details
- Trajectory GRU (2017)
 - Location invariant problem
 - Convolution details
 - LR, Optimizer
- IDA-LSTM (2021)
 - Configuration
 - Horrible performance explanation

Thank you!

Trento, 14th March 2022

Dataset - Split

- June 1, 2010, to December 31, 2019
- Split at the end of 2017
- 362,233 total frames
 - 193,611 training
 - 168,622 frames
- Spatial resolution of 500m
- Picture size of 480x480px
- Diameter 240km

TrajGRU - Location invariant problem

In ConvLSTM weights are fixed for all the locations

This model proposes the Location-invariant filter

Here recurrent connections are dynamically determined

Meaning it considers how an object moves making the prediction (convolution) is moving



TrajGRU - Configuration

- Thresholds
 - Input (mm/h): 0.01, 0.03, 0.1, 0.3
 - Output (mm/h): 0.1, 0.5, 1, 5, 10, 30, 50
- LOC: 4555 lines
- Convolution: stride 2
- Batch size: 2
- Learning rate: 10⁻⁴
- Iterations: 200.000
- Saving frequency: 10.000
- Optimizer:
 - Adam
 - Weight_decay: 10⁻⁶

- GPU: NVidia GTX 1080, 8GB memory
- Training
 - Iterations: 200.000
 - Time: 96 hours
- Testing
 - Iterations: 80.000
 - Time: 36 hours

IDA-LSTM configuration

- Patch size: 16x16px
- LOC: 7452 lines
- Filter size: 5
- Stride: 1
- Batch size: 1
- Iteration: 80.000
- Loss: L1+L2 loss
- Optimizer:
 - Adam
 - Weight_decay: 10⁻⁶

- Train 1:
 - Patch size: 16x16px
 - GPU: NVidia GTX 1080, 8GB memory
 - Iterations: 80.000
 - Full training time: 55 hours
- Train 2
 - Patch size: 40x40px
 - GPU: A100 Tensor Core
 - Iterations: 15.000
 - Full training time: 192 hours

S-PROG math details

Uses Autoregressive model Lag2

Approximates the Lagrangian space to separate

- motion of the field
- temporal evolution field



$$\begin{split} I(x,y,t) \\ u &= dx/dt \\ v &= dy/dt \\ \frac{\partial I}{\partial x}u + \frac{\partial I}{\partial y}v + \frac{\partial I}{\partial t} = \end{split}$$

0

Model Deployment

The model was deployed using:

- Docker container
- Server function (Azure function app)
- 1.5 GB ram
- ~23.5 sec to execute

It's going to replace MXNET model in MeteoTrentino website:

https://content.meteotrentino.it/dati-meteo/radar/loop/radar inc Temp N.aspx

